Addressing Coastal Erosion and Sea Level Rise in the Monterey Bay National Marine Sanctuary

MBNMS Advisory Council Meeting -- 8/19/10 Brad Damitz, MBNMS



Problem

Shoreline eroding, impacting development and ecology, degrading local economy and public welfare





Coastal Erosion/Armoring in the Sanctuary

- Concern about site-specific and cumulative impacts of increased armoring and loss of beaches
- Sanctuary's regulatory role with coastal armoring
- Status quo has been site by site "emergency" approach to responding to erosion issues
- Joint Management Plan Review-- a regional interagency approach in Sanctuary' s *Coastal Armoring Action Plan*





Armoring data as of 1998, from Living with the California Coast by Griggs and others (2005).

Impacts of Coastal Armoring

- Vary greatly
- Construction and long-term impacts
- Main impacts recognized
 - Visual Effects
 - Placement Loss
 - Access Issues
 - Loss of Sand Supply from Eroding Cliffs
 - Passive Erosion
 - Active Erosion
 - Biological Impacts





Visual Effects







Loss of Beach due to Placement





Reduced Public Access to Beach







Loss of Sand Supply from Eroding Cliffs



Passive Erosion





Copyright (C) 2002-2004 Kenneth & Gabrielle Adelman, California Coastal Records Project

Biological Impacts





Goal:

Devise a regional approach to minimize impacts from coastal armoring, while recognizing the issue of protecting public and private property.

Issue Background:

- Coastline is actively eroding
- Increase in coastal development
- Erosion presents a threat to development/infrastructure
- Armoring used extensively to protect development/ infrastructure from erosion, or retain soil
- > Armoring in the MBNMS



- Action Plan Strategies
- Strategy CA-1: Issue Characterization and Needs Assessment
- <u>Strategy CA-2</u>: Develop and Implement Regional Approach
- Strategy CA-3: Permit Program Improvements
- Strategy CA-4: Program Implementation and Training





Strategy CA-1 Issue Characterization and Needs Assessment

- Characterize issue/identify data gaps
- > Produce GIS maps and database
- Compile and analyze data
- > Develop and implement long-term monitoring program





Strategy CA-2 Develop and Implement Regional Approach

- > Develop hierarchy of preferred responses to erosion
- Develop guidelines for a sub-regional planning approach
- > Identify sub-regions
- Develop specific planning guidelines for each subregion
- > Reduce need for emergency permits
- >Pursue Pilot Program for Alternatives to Coastal Armoring



Strategy CA-3 Permit Program Improvements

- Integrate State and Federal planning programs
- Develop consistent permitting conditions
- Clarify threshold for MBNMS review of projects
- Incorporate MBNMS standards into agency permits
- Improve information sharing among agencies



Strategy CA-4 **Program Implementation and Training**

- Conduct needs assessment
- Conduct outreach to agencies and property owners
- > Review/comment on local land use decisions updates
- > Review/comment on LCP updates



Southern Monterey Bay Coastal Erosion Workgroup

Initiated by MBNMS and City of Monterey with support from Congressman Farr

Initial effort in implementing MBNMS *Coastal Armoring Action Plan --*collaborative regional effort to address armoring and erosion issues in Southern Monterey Bay





Sub-region between Moss Landing and Wharf II

Southern Monterey Bay Coastal Erosion Workgroup Participants

- AMBAG
- City of Monterey
- City of Sand City
- California Coastal Commission
- Monterey Bay National Marine Sanctuary
- California State Parks
- California Resources Agency
- •California Dept. of Boating and Waterways
- •U.S. Army Corps. Of Engineers
- Monterey Regional Water Pollution Control Agency
- Local Residents

- U.S. Geological Survey
- Marina Coast Water District
- •Naval Postgraduate School
- CSUMB
- UC Santa Cruz
- Surfrider Foundation
- Local, regional, and State Elected Officials
- Project Consultants and Engineers



Workgroup Goals:

- 1. Compile/analyze information on erosion and threats to structures
- 2. Identify and assess options available for responding to erosion
- 3. Develop a proactive regional Shoreline Management Plan with recommendations for responding to coastal erosion while minimizing socioeconomic and environmental impacts





Accomplishments to Date

- 1. Compiled and analyzed existing information on erosion rates and corresponding threats
- 2. Identified and completed prioritization of critical erosion sites
- 3. Identified range of options available for responding to erosion, and completed an initial assessment—In-depth analysis underway
- 4. Conducted public workshops
- 5. Provided input on Coastal RSM Plan



Littoral Cell/Regional Geomorphology



Salinas River Discharge



Coastal Dune Bluff Erosion



Accomplishments to Date

- 1. Compiled and analyzed existing information on erosion rates and corresponding threats
- 2. Identified and completed prioritization of critical crosion sites
- 3. Identified range of options available for responding to erosion, and completed an initial assessment—In-depth analysis underway
- 4. Conducted public workshops
- 5. Provided input on Coastal RSM Plan





Erosion Rate ~1 ft/yr

enneth & Gabrielle Adelman, California Coastal Records Project

Del Monte Beach Townhomes



Monterey Interceptor Erosion Rate ~1-3 ft/yr

Erosion Rate ~1.5 ft/yr

Copyright (C) 2002-2004 Kenneth & Gabrielle Adelman, California Coastal Records Project

Ocean Harbor House

Erosion Rate ~1.5 ft/yr

Copyright (C) 2002-2004 Kenneth & Gabrielle Adelman, California Coastal Records Project

Monterey Beach Resort





Sand Duras Dr

Seaside Pump Station

Erosion Rate ~3.5 ft/yr

Copyright (C) 2002-2004 Kenneth & Gabrielle Adelman, California Coastal Records Project

Tioga Avenue, Sand City



Facilities at Marina



Dredge Sand Mining Marina



Dredge Sand Mining Marina

Dredge pond filled during winter ~200,000 yd³/yr loss from system January 2008

Final Draft Report Subject to Revision

> Prepared for the

Pacific Institute

Prepared by

Philip Williams & Associates, Ltd. March 11, 2009

California Coastal Erosion Response

to Sea Level Rise - Analysis and Mapping



Houses in Isia Vista threatened by Sea Cliff Existen. June 2004 Photo by Devid Arvel





Moves Booding obtain front road in Santo Cruz, February 2008 Photo by David Arvell



Philip Williams & Associates, Ltd. | 550 Kearry Street, Suite 900, San Francisco, CA 94108 | t 415.262.2300 f: 415.262.2303 | www.pwa-ltd.com

Download

- Climate Action Team •
- CA Energy Commission •
- PWA (www.pwa-ltd.com) •

Questions



Hazard Maps



"Not for planning purposes"



Accomplishments to Date

- 1. Compiled and analyzed existing information on erosion rates and corresponding threats
- 2. Identified and completed prioritization of critical erosion sites
- 3. Identified range of options available for responding to erosion, and completed an initial assessment—In-depth analysis underway
- 4. Conducted public workshops
- 5. Provided input on Coastal RSM Plan



Entire List of Alternatives Considered

- 1. F ee Simple Acquisition:
- 2. C onservation Easements:
- 3. Present Use Tax:
- 4. Transfer of Development Credit
- 5. R olling Easements
- 6. R e moval/Relocation Managed Retreat
- 7. S tructural or Habitat Adaption
- 8. B luff top Development (setback)
- 9. Beach Level Development (setback)
- 10. Controlling Surface Runoff
- 11. Controlling Groundwater
- 12. Reservoir and Debris Basin
- 13. Sand Mining
- 14. Harbor By-Passing
- 15. Back-Passing
- 16. Subaerial Placement
- 17. Artificial Seaweed

- 18. Native Plants
- 19. Geotextile Core
- 20. Nearshore Placement
- 21. Dredge Sand from Deep or Offshore Deposits
- 22. Added Courser Sand than Native
- 23. Opportunistic Sand
- 24. SCOUP Efforts
- 25. Canyon Interception
- 26. Rip-Current Interruption
- 27. Inter-littoral Cell Transfers
- 28. Berms/Beach Scraping
- 29. Perched Beaches
- 30. Groins
- 31. Breakwaters
- 32. Dune Nourishment
- 33. Delta Enhancement
- 34. Headland Enhancement
- 35. Geotextile Groins

- 36. Branch Box Breakwaters
- 37. Floating Breakwaters
- 38. Coir Logs
- 39. Submerged Breakwaters
- 40. Kelp Forest Restoration
- 41. Beach Dewatering
- 42. Pressure Equalizing Modules
- 43. Seawalls
- 44. Revetments
- 45. Cave Fills
- 46. Gabions
- 47. Mixed Structures
- 48. Cobble Nourishment
- 49. Dynamic Revetments
- 50. Geotextile Revetment
- 51. Floating Reefs
- 52. Rubber Dams
- 53. Visually Treated Walls or Revetments
- 54. Cessation of Sand Mining
- 55. Sand Fencing/Dune Guard Fencing

Narrowing down of field of alternatives

28 options were dropped throughout the process because:

- 1. Not economically feasible
- 2. Would cause substantial environmental impact
- 3. Has unacceptable visual impacts to shoreline
- 4. Causes significant public safety or recreational impacts
- 5. Not technically feasible



Summary of RSM Approaches



Categories of Alternatives

- Land Use Planning
- Non-structural
- Structural
- Time Horizons
 - Immediate 0-5 years
 - Short 5-25 years
 - Medium 25-50 years
 - Long 50-100+





Land Use Planning Tools

- Rolling Easements
- Managed Retreat
- Transfer of development credit
- Conservation Easements
- Present use tax
- Fee Simple Acquisition
- Structural or Habitat Adaptation
- Setbacks for Bluff top Development
- Setbacks + Elevation for Beach Level Development

Generally issues are: high upfront costs, long implementation timelines, limited application, or put off the problem until a later da PW



Non Structural

- Sand Mining cessation
- SCOUP/ Opportunistic Sand
- Beach Dewatering
 - Active Pumping
 - Passive PEMs
 - Desalination wells
- Beach Nourishment



General approach is: increase natural sand supply, accelerate natural accretion processes, or augment sand volumes

Structural Tools

- Revetments
- Seawalls
- Perched Beaches
- Groins
- Breakwaters
- Artificial Reefs/ Submergent
 Breakwaters/ Low
 Crested Structures



Photo courtesy G.Griggs

\$5.3 million sand mitigation fee for lost recreational beach over the life of the seawall



Southern Monterey Bay Coastal Erosion Workgroup Steps Ahead

- In-depth analysis of alternatives by outside consultant/experts
 - \checkmark Scientific and environmental evaluation
 - ✓ Technical and engineering feasibility
- Further evaluation of regulatory/policy/political considerations
- Development of proactive plan with regional and site-specific responses for near and long-term
- Identify potential funding sources and determine role of various parties in project implementation





QUESTIONS?

brad.damitz@noaa.gov



